Journal of Research and Innovation for Sustainable Society (JRISS)
Volume 8, Issue 1, 2026
ISSN: 2668-0416
Thoth Publishing House

DOI: 10.33727/JRISS.2026.1.17:156-162

Reconfiguring the Sketch in the Digital Environment of Visual Arts: Compositional Structures Between Tradition and Technology

Răzvan Dragoș[1]
[1.] Faculty of Arts, „Ovidius" University of Constanta, Romania, ORCID: 0009-0000-7979-2079

E-mail: dragos.razvanpetrisor@yahoo.com

Abstract. This article examines the transformations of compositional structures within the digital environment of visual arts, with particular emphasis on the reconfiguration of the sketch from traditional techniques to contemporary media arts. Starting from the function of the sketch as an instrument of visual thinking and as a preliminary stage in the analog creative process, the study highlights how digitalization reshapes the logic of image construction without altering the conceptual essence of artistic practice. The reversibility of operations, layering, modularity, and the iterative possibility of adjustment transform digital composition into an open system subject to continuous recalibration.
The analysis proposes a perspective of continuity between traditional and digital practices, arguing that contemporary technology operates as a cognitive and operational extension of the artist rather than as a substitute for creativity. In this context, the digital sketch no longer represents merely a transitional phase, but becomes a structural matrix and a space for conceptual experimentation. The article supports the idea of a hybrid practice in which the dialogue between manual gesture and digital processing reinforces compositional coherence. Consequently, structure precedes technology, while digital media amplifies the possibilities of articulating the contemporary image.
Keywords: *digital sketch, compositional structure, visual thinking, digital media art, hybrid artistic practice, modularity and reversibility*

Introduction

In the process of conceiving an artistic project, one of the fundamental stages is the use of the sketch as a primary means of articulating visual intention. In most traditional practices, the sketch precedes the realization of the final work, functioning as an intermediary space between idea and materialization. It does

not represent merely a technical step, but rather a territory of visual thinking in which compositional structures are tested, adjusted, and reorganized.

The artist perceives reality through a personal filter, where sensory data intersect with imagination, affective memory, and subjective experience. The result of this process is a vision that does not simply reproduce the world, but reconfigures it. The essential question that arises in this context is: through what mechanisms are these visions organized? How are they structured so as to acquire compositional coherence and expressive consistency?

The Sketch as Infrastructure of Visual Thinking

The sketch emerges as a response to this need for organization. Often regarded as merely a preliminary step or a "scribble" lacking aesthetic autonomy, it in fact represents a space of internal ordering. Through the coordination between cerebral activity and the gesture of the hand, which becomes an instrument of thought, the artist externalizes mental structures. Line, mark, rhythm, tension, and the distribution of visual masses thus become forms of thought translated into visual terms.

In contemporary practice, this process undergoes a significant transformation generated by the digitalization of working environments. Technology does not intervene merely as an auxiliary instrument; rather, it alters the very structure through which elements are organized, layered, and reconfigured. Digital applications enable rapid, reversible, and iterative manipulation of composition, introducing new paradigms of visual construction.

The path from intention to the completed artistic object requires not only the articulation of a visual structure but also the configuration of a coherent concept and intelligible content. In this regard, Răzvan-Constantin Caratănase emphasizes that "The path from idea to a finished work certainly requires a concept, regardless of what the artist understands by it, but also content, which, if not universal, must at least exist outside the artist and address potential viewers. Content may consist of the subject itself, a narrative, or a visual sign, whether an icon or a motif."[2]

This observation is essential for understanding the status of the sketch within the digital environment. If the sketch constitutes the structural infrastructure of the artwork, concept and content are what provide it with direction and meaning. Technology, whether analog or digital, does not intervene in the conceptual essence of the work, but in the formal articulation of that essence. Consequently, the choice of medium does not compromise the integrity of the idea; it merely influences the mode through which visual language is configured.

Thus, the digital sketch can function as a space of conceptual organization just as legitimately as the traditional sketch, as long as the relationship between concept, structure, and content remains coherent.

Within this framework, digital tools, algorithms, graphical interfaces, automated functions, and even artificial intelligence systems, can be understood not as substitutes for human creativity, but as extensions of the cognitive process. They do not replace thought; rather, they amplify and reorganize it. If in traditional practice the coordination between brain and hand constituted the fundamental mechanism of image structuring, in the digital environment this relationship is mediated by the interface, yet not nullified.

This mediation through the interface introduces a shift not only in technique, but in the epistemology of visual creation itself. The sketch becomes a site where cognition, perception, and technological mediation intersect. Rather than being confined to the immediacy of gesture, visual thinking expands into a dialogic process between intention and system, between intuition and programmable structure. The screen does not

[2]R.-C. Caratănase, (2025). *O istorie a graficii românești, Noțiuni, concepte și principii*. Sfântu Gheorghe: Art Printer, p.23

merely display the emerging composition; it becomes an active field of negotiation in which decisions are constantly evaluated, modified, and recontextualized.

In this expanded framework, the sketch functions simultaneously as draft, laboratory, and analytical model. It allows the artist to visualize structural hypotheses, to simulate compositional tensions, and to anticipate relational dynamics between elements before they are definitively stabilized. The possibility of zooming, isolating fragments, or restructuring spatial hierarchies enhances the reflective dimension of the act of drawing. Consequently, the sketch evolves from a spontaneous notation of ideas into a strategic instrument of compositional research.

Moreover, the digital sketch introduces a form of layered intentionality. Because elements can coexist on separate strata without immediate fusion, the artist gains the ability to think composition in parallel registers. Foreground, background, and intermediary planes no longer depend solely on optical illusion or material superposition; they become adjustable structural variables. This reinforces the analytical awareness of spatial construction and deepens the understanding of compositional balance.

At the same time, the cognitive extension offered by digital tools does not eliminate the necessity of critical judgment. On the contrary, the abundance of options requires heightened discernment. The sketch remains the site where selection, hierarchy, and synthesis occur. Technology expands the field of possibilities, but it is the artist who establishes coherence.

Thus, the sketch, whether analog or digital, continues to operate as the foundational infrastructure of visual thinking. What changes is not its essential role, but the conditions under which it unfolds. In the digital environment, it becomes an expanded cognitive space in which structure, concept, and experimentation converge.

Technology as Cognitive Extension. Historical Continuities

The algorithm becomes a form of procedural delegation, while digital tools function as mechanisms for accelerating and refining visual decisions. The possibility of intervening in intermediate stages, adjusting proportions, recalibrating chromatic relationships, reorganizing compositional layers, introduces a new dynamic into image construction. The correction of gesture no longer implies erasure or material reworking, but structural reconfiguration.

In this sense, the translation or adjustment carried out in the digital environment can be considered as legitimate as direct intervention upon a traditional support. Authenticity does not reside in the material nature of the instrument, but in the coherence of the compositional system and in artistic intentionality. The choice of representational style, whether analog or digital, does not compromise the integrity of the work; rather, it contributes to diversifying the modes through which vision is articulated.

Thus, the digital environment does not eliminate the sketch; instead, it transforms its status: from a preliminary gesture, it becomes an open structure subject to continuous recalibration.

It is necessary to acknowledge that each artistic epoch has constructed its own technological instruments, which artists have employed in order to achieve aesthetic objectives. Technology has never been external to artistic creation; rather, it has functioned as a structural partner. From rudimentary drawing tools to the sophisticated mechanisms of contemporary representation, technological evolution has consistently influenced the organization of the image.

In this context, the education of the contemporary artist cannot ignore the digital dimension. Even a minimal understanding of digitalization processes, interface functionality, and algorithmic logic becomes essential for comprehending contemporary visual language. This is not a matter of replacing tradition, but of expanding structural competencies. Technological developments in recent decades have profoundly transformed the infrastructure of artistic production. The manufacture and large-scale distribution of personal computers, video cameras, and other digital devices have made these instruments accessible to artists interested in experimentation. As Boris Magrini observes, “the manufacture and retail of personal

computers, video cameras and other digital devices made this technology available and affordable for artists who wanted to experiment with them, facilitating the establishment of a unique artistic field at the threshold of art and technology"[3]

This observation confirms that the digital environment should not be understood as a mere technical extension, but as a distinct artistic field situated at the intersection of art and technology. Within this framework, the digital sketch does not represent a degradation of the traditional process, but rather an adaptation to the new material and cultural conditions of visual production.

From a retrospective perspective, the technique of using the grid during the Renaissance, by which an image was proportionally transferred onto another support, constituted a strategy of compositional control and spatial fidelity. Artists such as Leonardo da Vinci and Albrecht Dürer employed measuring systems and optical devices in order to rigorously organize representation.

The transfer method through gridding, the use of the camera obscura, and perspective devices were, in their time, advanced technological forms. By comparison, the use of the digital grid, layers, and automatic alignment functions in contemporary applications represents a continuation of the same structural principle.

The underlying concept remains constant: the organization of proportions, the control of spatial relationships, and the establishment of a coherent compositional architecture. The difference lies in the nature of the instrument, not in artistic intention. Thus, digital assemblage carried out through grids or modular systems can be interpreted as a natural evolution of structuring strategies employed in previous epochs.

Therefore, rather than witnessing an ontological rupture between traditional and digital practices, we observe a transformation in the operational medium of the same compositional thinking.

Compositional Structure in the Digital Environment

In comparison to the inherent limitations of sketches executed through traditional means, where the materiality of the instrument (pencil, ink, charcoal, engraving needle) directly determines expressivity and the possibilities of intervention, the digital environment offers a significant expansion of visual configuration options. In the analog realm, intervention often involves erasure, material superimposition, or the complete reworking of a compositional fragment; in digital space, however, the structure of the image can be reorganized without the loss of previous stages.

Layer-based workflows, selective cropping, opacity adjustments, controlled deformation of forms, the application of filters, and morpho-structural integration effects introduce a new paradigm of visual construction. Compositional elements become independent entities, manipulable and reversibly reconfigurable. This digital stratification enables the artist to operate upon spatial and structural relationships with a degree of flexibility that is difficult to achieve within a physical medium.

These technological horizons open an expanded field of plastic expression, propelling imagination beyond the constraints imposed by material resistance or the irreversibility of gesture. Digital language does not replace the artistic idea; rather, it facilitates its articulation and integration within a coherent system. When employed consciously and critically, the digital environment becomes an accelerator of the compositional process.

Nevertheless, this operational expansion does not entail the elimination of traditional stages. On the contrary, the transition between analog and digital may constitute a complementary process. The sketch need not be generated exclusively within the virtual environment; it may originate in direct drawing, through manual gesture, and subsequently be transferred, reinterpreted, or further developed digitally.

[3] B. Magrini, (2017). *Confronting the Machine: An Enquiry into the Subversive Drives of Computer-Generated Art*. Berlin: De Gruyter, p.53

Hybrid Practice and the Ontological Status of the Digital Sketch

Practical experience demonstrates that the act of direct drawing activates an organic relationship between hand and thought, facilitating an embodied understanding of forms and spatial tensions. Manual gesture implies sensory involvement and continuity of movement, reinforcing compositional unity. Analogous to the difference between handwriting and typing, the manual process appears to encourage a more natural sedimentation of ideas.

Therefore, the digital environment should not be understood as a substitute for tradition, but as its extension. The dialogue between the two working spaces, analog and digital, can generate a hybrid practice in which compositional structure is constructed through a continuous process of translation and reinterpretation.

A defining feature of the digital environment is its iterative character. While in traditional practice intervention upon the image entails a certain degree of irreversibility, erasure leaves traces, superimposition permanently alters underlying layers, and reworking often requires reconstructing the support, in digital space the process becomes reversible and potentially infinite. The "undo" function, the ability to save multiple versions, and the possibility of returning to previous stages transform compositional construction into an open system.

This reversibility does not diminish the complexity of the artistic act; rather, it reconfigures the very logic of visual decision-making. The artist is no longer constrained by the definitive character of each intervention, but gains the possibility of exploring multiple structural variants, testing alternative rhythms, and comparing compositional solutions without compromising earlier stages of the process. Consequently, creation acquires the dimension of progressive exploration, and the sketch loses its status as a fixed preliminary phase, transforming into a permanently reconfigurable device.

Within this framework, the digital environment introduces a new form of artistic temporality. Composition is no longer the result of a linear and irreversible sequence of interventions, but the expression of a dynamic system of branching, returning, and successive adjustments. The creative process thus becomes non-linear, open, and potentially unlimited.

This characteristic is also emphasized in the specialized literature. Aura Evelina Radu notes that "The most important characteristics of digital collage are that all operations are reversible and that the possibilities for working and derivation are unlimited. What should be emphasized is that changes in textures or dimensions are both possible and easily achieved, as are automated processes, multiplications, and so on"[4].

In this context, the digital image can no longer be understood as a static object, but as a dynamic system of relationships in which the modification of textures, dimensions, or structural proportions can be carried out rapidly, controllably, and reiteratively.

Therefore, the digital sketch acquires an ontological status distinct from that of the traditional sketch: it does not merely anticipate the artwork, but coexists with it within a continuous process of derivation and adjustment.

Another essential aspect is the modular character of the digital image. Elements are no longer inseparable from their support, but can be treated as independent units reorganizable within a system. The digital grid, automatic alignment functions, and proportional distribution tools reactivate, within a new technological framework, the classical principles of spatial ordering.

This modularity encourages an architectural mode of compositional thinking. The image is constructed as an ensemble of interdependent structures, where each component can be adjusted without destabilizing the whole. Paradoxically, technological flexibility may lead to increased structural rigor, as relationships

[4] A.-E. Radu, (2024). *Analiza elementelor de artă vizuală*. Timișoara: Eurostampa, p.15

can be measured and calibrated with precision. Thus, the digital environment does not dilute compositional discipline; rather, it provides additional instruments for articulating it.

The theorist Lev Manovich, in *The Language of New Media*, identifies among the fundamental principles of new media the notions of variability and modularity. The digital image is not a fixed object, but a set of data susceptible to multiple configurations[5].

This characteristic supports the idea that digital compositional structure is not a closed final result, but a temporary configuration within a field of possibilities.

When related to the sketch, this perspective redefines its ontological status. The sketch no longer represents merely an anticipation of the artwork, but a structural matrix subject to successive transformations. It becomes an interactive medium of visual thinking.

In contemporary artistic practice, the interaction between analog and digital generates a hybrid process. A manually executed sketch may constitute the structural nucleus of a composition, subsequently transferred and reinterpreted within the digital environment. This trajectory preserves the tactile and gestural dimension of drawing while simultaneously harnessing technological flexibility.

The direct experience of drawing, bodily involvement, pressure of the instrument, rhythm of movement, contributes to an organic understanding of space and formal tensions. Subsequently, the digital environment facilitates the reorganization and refinement of these structures without negating their gestural origin. A continuous circuit is thus created between intuition and analysis, between corporeality and algorithm.

Conclusions

The analysis of compositional structures within the digital environment of visual arts demonstrates that technological transformations have not produced a radical rupture in the logic of image construction, but rather a reconfiguration of the means through which it is articulated. The sketch, traditionally understood as a preliminary stage of the creative process, does not disappear in the context of digitalization; instead, it undergoes a functional and ontological transformation. From a transitional instrument, it becomes an open space of continuous experimentation.

If in analog practice the relationship between brain and hand generated a direct externalization of visual thinking, in the digital environment this relationship is mediated by interface and algorithm without losing its conceptual essence. The reversibility of operations, the iterative possibility of adjustment, and structural modularity do not diminish the authenticity of the artistic act; rather, they expand its field of operation. Digital composition should therefore not be interpreted as mere automation, but as a flexible architecture of visual decision-making.

Contemporary technology, now accessible and integrated into artistic practice, has contributed to the configuration of a domain situated at the intersection of art and technology. Within this framework, compositional structure is no longer constrained by the limits of material support, but becomes a system of dynamic relationships subject to continuous recalibration. Functions such as layering, multiplication, controlled deformation, and modular reorganization transform the image into a set of reconfigurable visual data.

Nevertheless, the analysis demonstrates that digitalization does not negate the necessity of traditional foundations. Concept and content remain the core of any visual work, regardless of the medium employed. The choice of instrument does not substitute artistic intention, but offers a different mode of formal articulation. Hybrid practice, based on the alternation and interaction between analog sketching and digital processing, thus emerges as a coherent solution for the contemporary artist.

[5] L. Manovich, (2001). *The Language of New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp.15-26

The digital sketch can function as a structural matrix, as a space of extended visual thinking, and as a territory of conceptual experimentation. It enables intuition and analysis, gesture and calculation, spontaneity and control to coexist. In this sense, the transformation of the medium does not compromise the integrity of the artwork, but amplifies the possibilities of compositional organization.

Therefore, structure precedes technology, and technology amplifies structure. The relationship between analog and digital should not be understood in terms of opposition, but of complementarity. In media arts, the sketch is not a vestige of tradition, but an active principle of construction, adapted to the new material and cultural conditions of the contemporary image.

References

1] Caratănase, R.-C. (2025). *O istorie a graficii românești, Noțiuni, concepte și principii*. Sfântu Gheorghe: Art Printer.
2] Magrini, B. (2017). *Confronting the Machine: An Enquiry into the Subversive Drives of Computer-Generated Art*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
3] Manovich, L. (2001). *The Language of New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
4] Radu, A.-E. (2024). *Analiza elementelor de artă vizuală*. Timișoara: Eurostampa.