Journal of Research and Innovation for Sustainable Society (JRISS)
Volume 7, Issue 2, 2025
ISSN: 2668-0416
Thoth Publishing House

DOI: 10.33727/JRISS.2025.2.22:257-271

ESG Negotiations and the Due Diligence Process: A Decision Between Risk, Reward, and Responsibility

Daniel Malutan[1] and Daiana Eniu[2]

[1] Babeș-Bolyai University, Cluj Napoca, Romania ORCID: 0000-0002-9941-2675
[2] Graduate of Business Faculty, Babes-Bolyai University, Romania, Oricid: 0009-0006-2218-9846

[1] Email: Daniel.malutan@gmail.com
[2] Email: daiana_malutan@yahoo.com

Abstract. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles are transforming negotiations and due diligence by shifting the focus from short-term profit to long-term responsibility, risk management, and sustainable value creation. Traditional negotiations emphasize price and efficiency, while ESG-driven approaches integrate sustainability, social equity, and governance transparency, reshaping how companies select partners, structure agreements, and manage compliance. Incorporating ESG into due diligence extends evaluation beyond financial and legal factors, addressing ecological impact, labor practices, and governance integrity. This holistic perspective reduces reputational and regulatory risks, attracts responsible capital, and strengthens trust-based partnerships. ESG clauses in contracts, transparent reporting, and performance indicators ensure accountability and provide measurable evidence of commitment. Although ESG negotiations often involve greater complexity, longer timelines, and higher initial costs, they deliver strategic advantages such as reputation protection, stakeholder confidence, and access to regulated and ethical markets. By embedding ESG in governance structures and stakeholder engagement processes, companies not only safeguard compliance but also position themselves as leaders in building a fairer, more sustainable economy.

Keywords: *negotiation, Environmental, Social, Governance, due diligence*

1. Introduction

In a continually evolving global economic landscape, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors are becoming increasingly pertinent in business strategies and negotiation processes. ESG has transitioned from being a secondary criterion in evaluating a company's performance to an essential component influencing the decisions of investors, business partners, and clients. As regulations tighten and consumers and stakeholders elevate their expectations regarding sustainability, companies must adapt their strategies to remain relevant and competitive.

In this context, traditional negotiations, focused on price and economic efficiency, are contrasted with ESG-based negotiations, which emphasize sustainability, social responsibility, and corporate governance transparency. ESG negotiations not only promote responsible economic growth but also contribute to mitigating regulatory risks, enhancing customer loyalty, and attracting investors who prioritize ethical standards. Furthermore, by integrating ESG principles, companies can access new, better-regulated markets where sustainability serves as a decisive criterion for selecting business partners.

This article aims to analyze the primary differences between these two approaches, highlighting the advantages and challenges associated with ESG negotiations. We will explore how these principles influence due diligence, commercial agreements, and compliance strategies, providing concrete examples of ESG's positive impact on companies' economic performance and reputational standing. By incorporating ESG principles into due diligence and commercial agreements, companies can achieve significant long-term benefits, including reduced reputational risks, attraction of responsible capital, and the strengthening of sustainable partnerships. Additionally, we will examine ESG monitoring and compliance mechanisms, emphasizing the importance of transparent reporting and active stakeholder engagement in decision-making processes. ESG is not merely a trend but a fundamental pillar of modern business, essential for the future of global markets.

2. ESG in Negotiations and Due Diligence: Fundamentals and Objectives

2.1. Defining ESG and Its Impact on Modern Business Practices, with Emphasis on Negotiations

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria are utilized to evaluate a company's performance concerning its impact on the environment, society, and internal governance. First introduced in 2004 through the United Nations' "Who Cares Wins" initiative (United Nations Global Compact, 2019), ESG has become central to business and investment practices. It not only promotes ethical and sustainable behaviors but also redefines priorities in business negotiations, encouraging companies to balance financial objectives with social responsibility and environmental protection (Laasch, 2022; Eccles & Klimenko, 2019).

Components of ESG in the Context of Negotiations

1. **Environmental (Environment):** This component addresses how a company's activities affect the environment. Factors such as reducing carbon emissions, efficient resource use, and waste management are crucial for modern companies, especially in negotiations. Adopting environmental criteria in negotiations can enhance reputation and attract partners and investors interested in sustainability (García & Rodríguez, 2021; Lee et al., 2016).
2. **Social (Social):** The social component focuses on companies' relationships with employees, customers, and the communities in which they operate. Aspects like diversity, equity, and employee rights become priorities in negotiating contracts and strategic partnerships. In this context, companies that incorporate ESG social clauses in negotiations tend to reduce ethical risks and improve partner loyalty (Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022; Laasch, 2022).
3. **Governance (Governance):** Governance includes practices such as transparency, leadership accountability, and avoidance of conflicts of interest. High governance standards are increasingly demanded in negotiations, contributing to forming partnerships based on trust and integrity (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019; GRI, 2020).

Integrating ESG into negotiations transforms the traditional approach, providing a foundation for risk reduction and long-term value creation. Negotiations that adhere to ESG standards are often more complex and require increased attention during the compliance phase but can lead to durable and stable partnerships that protect companies' reputations and generate competitive advantages. Additionally, ESG attracts investors and clients seeking to collaborate with organizations that share the same values of sustainability and ethics (Thomas & Lamm, 2020; Raut et al., 2019).

ESG in business encompasses objectives that go beyond economic and ethical spheres, including responsibility towards the environment and a commitment to the planet's sustainable future. ESG helps companies reduce reputational and regulatory risks, attract sustainable investments, and actively contribute to combating climate change and protecting ecosystems. This not only promotes long-term company value but also aids in creating a positive ecological impact (García & Rodríguez, 2021; United Nations Global Compact, 2019; Laasch, 2022).

In the digital era, a company's reputation can be quickly damaged if unsustainable practices are exposed. Companies adopting ESG protect their public image and gain the trust of investors, who consider ESG responsibility an indicator of ethical values and integrity. Moreover, ESG standards allow companies to align with strict environmental and social regulations, preventing risks associated with legal violations and attracting investors interested in sustainability and positive social impact (Thomas & Lamm, 2020; García & Rodríguez, 2021; United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

Investors are increasingly oriented towards companies with solid ESG practices, believing that these have a greater potential to generate long-term value. Effective ESG strategies provide a foundation of trust that increases access to capital and improves relationships with responsible investors interested in positive environmental and social impact.These practices not only attract capital but also contribute to the company's stability and advantageous market positioning (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019; Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022).

ESG practices aim to minimize carbon emissions through ecological production strategies, energy efficiency, and the use of renewable resources. For example, companies adopting ESG invest in low-emission technologies, such as renewable energy sources and recycling innovations, thus supporting global climate neutrality objectives (García & Rodríguez, 2021; Lee et al., 2016). These measures not only protect the environment but also attract responsible investors seeking sustainable opportunities.

ESG becomes a key component in global efforts to reduce global warming, contributing to pollution reduction and biodiversity protection. By internally regulating environmental standards, companies reduce ecological risks, and their investments in green technologies become examples of best practices for other organizations (United Nations Global Compact, 2019; Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018).

2.2. Defining ESG Due Diligence

Due diligence is a comprehensive evaluation process of a company to identify the risks and opportunities of a transaction. This detailed analysis examines financial, operational, and legal aspects, and integrating ESG components extends this evaluation by including non-financial factors. ESG adds an important dimension to the due diligence process, focusing on the company's impact on the environment, social practices, and governance structure, ensuring compliance with sustainability and ethical responsibility standards.

In the ESG context, due diligence is not limited to examining financial health but also analyzes risks associated with ecological practices, such as carbon footprint and natural resource use (Clark, Feiner & Viehs, 2014). Social risks may involve working conditions, diversity policies, and employee rights protection, while governance aspects include reporting transparency, integrity, and board structure (Khan, Serafeim & Yoon, 2016; García & Rodríguez, 2021). This holistic approach allows companies to identify potential issues that can affect reputation, attract investors, and ensure regulatory compliance.

Therefore, ESG-inclusive due diligence is an essential tool for ensuring the sustainability and ethics of transactions, supporting strategic decisions that consider both profitability and social and environmental impact. By integrating ESG criteria, the due diligence process becomes a complete and efficient approach, aligned with modern market requirements (Dimson, Karakaş & Li, 2015).

3. Major Differences Between Traditional Negotiation and ESG Negotiation

Focus on Price vs. Ethical Values and Sustainability

In traditional negotiations, the emphasis is often on price and efficiency, aiming to maximize profitability and conclude financially advantageous agreements swiftly. This approach primarily considers immediate costs and delivery timelines, without necessarily taking into account social or environmental implications. For instance, a traditional procurement agreement might prioritize a supplier offering the

lowest price, even if they do not adhere to strict labor or environmental standards (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019).

Conversely, ESG-based negotiations adopt a more holistic approach, placing greater importance on ethical values and sustainability. ESG encompasses criteria such as environmental protection, human rights, and social standards, shifting priorities beyond just price and timelines. This approach emphasizes selecting partners who commit to environmental and ethical responsibilities, even if this entails higher short-term costs. In the long term, however, ESG can foster more durable partnerships, customer loyalty, and protection against reputational and regulatory risks (García & Rodríguez, 2021; Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022).

Therefore, ESG transforms negotiations by integrating economic criteria with sustainability considerations, enabling companies to differentiate themselves in the market and attract investors and partners who value commitments to the environment and society (Laasch, 2022).

Process Complexity and Duration

ESG-based negotiations are generally more complex and prolonged than traditional ones due to additional compliance requirements and due diligence procedures needed to ensure adherence to environmental, social, and governance standards. These negotiations involve rigorous assessments of partners to verify their compliance with ESG principles, which can add considerable time to the overall process. Verifying and integrating sustainability practices, such as carbon emissions or ethical working conditions, necessitates additional analysis stages and specific resources, contributing to greater process complexity (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019; García & Rodríguez, 2021; United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

In contrast, traditional negotiations are primarily oriented toward achieving quick and efficient results, focusing on costs and financial terms, with less attention to environmental impact or other ethical factors. This approach may initially seem more efficient, reducing the time and resources needed to finalize a negotiation, but the associated risks are higher in the long term. Traditional approaches, lacking ESG verifications, expose companies to reputational risks and potential penalties, which can become costly (Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022; Raut et al., 2019; Laasch, 2022).

Thus, although involving a longer process and higher initial costs, ESG negotiations offer significant long-term advantages by ensuring sustainable partnerships that comply with global sustainability standards. This more complex negotiation process, yet oriented toward ethics and sustainability, is increasingly valued by investors and international markets (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018; Lee et al., 2016).

Risk Management vs. Profit Prioritization

ESG-based negotiations prioritize risk management, focusing on preventing non-compliance risks and ensuring long-term sustainability. Companies applying ESG standards implement measures to minimize exposure to regulatory and social risks. This enables them to avoid penalties, maintain a positive public image, and establish trustworthy business relationships. ESG also contributes to reducing risks related to supply chains, labor practices, and environmental impact, protecting companies from crises that may arise due to non-compliance with these standards (García & Rodríguez, 2021; United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

In contrast, traditional negotiations often emphasize immediate profit, with the primary objective of maximizing short-term financial benefits. This approach, although quick and cost-efficient initially, can expose companies to high risks by ignoring important aspects related to sustainability and social responsibility. An approach focused solely on profit might overlook potential long-term negative repercussions, such as environmental or reputational impacts, which can affect the company's stability and performance over time (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019; Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022).

Therefore, ESG negotiations, while potentially involving higher initial costs and a more complex process, offer more robust risk management and support companies in building ethical and sustainable partnerships, appreciated by investors who value both financial performance and social impact (Laasch, 2022; Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018).

Compliance Clauses vs. Standard Clauses

In ESG-based negotiations, contracts often include compliance clauses that require partners to adhere to strict sustainability standards. These clauses cover aspects such as environmental protection, working conditions, respect for human rights, and transparency in reporting social and ecological impact. The purpose of these clauses is to ensure that partners and suppliers align with the company's ESG values, thereby contributing to minimizing long-term reputational and regulatory risks. By specifying clear compliance requirements, companies can monitor and evaluate partners' ESG impact, protecting themselves against potential unethical or environmentally harmful practices (Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022; United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

Traditional negotiations, on the other hand, do not frequently include detailed sustainability and compliance clauses, focusing more on standard terms related to price, delivery, and technical specifications. Without specific ESG clauses, these agreements risk omitting important environmental and social aspects, which can lead to non-compliance with international regulations and damage the company's reputation if partners do not adhere to ethical and sustainability standards (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019; Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018; García & Rodríguez, 2021).

Thus, integrating ESG clauses into contracts is an essential step for companies aiming to promote sustainable practices and build lasting business relationships, while also protecting their values and reputation in the long term (Laasch, 2022).

Impact on Reputation and Strategic Alliances

Adopting ESG standards strengthens companies' reputations and supports the formation of durable strategic alliances. Integrating ESG projects a positive and responsible image of the company, increasing trust among investors, customers, and business partners. A study by Friede, Busch, and Bassen (2015) demonstrated that well-implemented ESG practices correlate with superior financial performance, highlighting the reputational value and long-term impact of this approach. ESG-compliant companies are seen as trustworthy market players, and business partners are more willing to form strategic alliances with them, knowing that ethical and environmental commitments minimize long-term risks (Friede, Busch, & Bassen, 2015; Clark, Feiner, & Viehs, 2014).

Traditional negotiations, however, which overlook sustainability and social responsibility aspects, can expose the company to significant reputational risks. The lack of ESG commitment can lead to unethical or unsustainable practices that harm the organization's public image. Studies indicate that ignoring ESG increases the risk of association with harmful practices, and companies involved in such controversies may lose the trust of investors and consumers, negatively impacting strategic alliances (Khan, Serafeim, & Yoon, 2016).

Therefore, ESG offers a competitive advantage, protecting the company's reputation and facilitating long-term partnerships. Investors and business partners value companies that promote ethical governance and a positive social impact, and this ESG strategy allows companies to position themselves favorably in international markets and form lasting alliances (Dimson, Karakaş, & Li, 2015).

4. Implementing and Monitoring ESG Compliance in Negotiations

4.1. Monitoring and Measuring ESG Performance

Monitoring and measuring ESG performance are crucial components for companies aiming to integrate sustainable models into their activities and demonstrate progress toward environmental, social, and governance objectives. Standard processes allow companies to evaluate the real impact of their ESG initiatives and ensure alignment with the expectations of stakeholders, investors, and international regulations. Monitoring ESG performance involves continuous assessment of key indicators to understand how the company's activities influence the environment, society, and governance structures.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in ESG Monitoring

Defining and using relevant and specific performance indicators (KPIs) is essential for measuring the actual impact of ESG initiatives within a company. KPIs should align with the organization's strategic objectives and cover all three ESG domains to provide a comprehensive and balanced assessment of performance (Clark, Feiner, & Viehs, 2014). For each ESG segment, the three most commonly used indicators identified in the specialized literature are:

- **Environmental Indicators:** These indicators monitor the company's operations' impact on the environment and measure efforts to reduce emissions and resource consumption.
    - **Carbon Emissions:** Reducing greenhouse gas emissions is one of the most important objectives for companies aiming to contribute to combating climate change. Carbon emissions can be measured in terms of tons of CO_2 per production unit or as an annual percentage reduction (García & Rodríguez, 2021).
    - **Energy Consumption:** Companies can track energy efficiency by monitoring total energy consumption and implementing alternative solutions, such as renewable energy (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019).
    - **Waste Management:** Evaluating the volume of waste generated and the percentage of recycled waste helps measure efforts to reduce environmental impact and identify sustainable solutions (Laasch, 2022).
- **Social Indicators:** These focus on the company's relationships with employees, customers, suppliers, and the communities in which it operates, reflecting the social impact of its activities.
    - **Diversity and Inclusion:** The percentage of employees from various demographic categories, including gender, ethnicity, or age, is an important indicator of the company's efforts to promote a fair and diverse work environment (Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022).
    - **Employee Health and Safety:** The frequency of workplace accidents and the illness rate are essential indicators for evaluating the work environment and protecting employees' health (Friede, Busch, & Bassen, 2015).
    - **Employee Training and Development:** The number of hours allocated to employee training and investments in their professional development reflect the company's commitment to workforce growth and retention (Khan, Serafeim, & Yoon, 2016).
- **Governance Indicators:** Governance KPIs measure the company's transparency and ethical practices and its involvement in regulatory compliance. Common governance indicators include:
    - **Decision-Making Transparency:** Evaluating the frequency and details of financial and non-financial reports to ensure a transparent decision-making process (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018).
    - **Regulatory Compliance:** Measuring adherence to international standards, as well as the number and severity of penalties or fines received, provides insight into the company's commitment to legal and regulatory compliance (Dimson, Karakaş, & Li, 2015).
    - **Board Integrity and Efficiency:** Analyzing the frequency and quality of board meetings, diversity within the board, and the independence of members offers information about the company's commitment to responsible governance (United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

External Audits and Periodic Verifications

To ensure compliance with ESG standards and maintain a high level of transparency, companies can engage in external audits and periodic verifications. These independent processes help validate the company's performance and credibility, providing partners and investors with confidence that ESG commitments are upheld and supported through real practices.

- **Independent External Audits:** Engaging certified external auditors is essential for objectively evaluating the company's compliance with ESG criteria. Auditors can assess the accuracy of environmental, social, and governance reports, identify potential gaps, and recommend improvements (García & Rodríguez, 2021). Following audits, companies can obtain ESG certifications that demonstrate their commitment to sustainability standards. These certifications (e.g., ISO 14001 for environmental management) can enhance the organization's credibility and confer a competitive advantage in the market (Clark, Feiner, & Viehs, 2014).
- **Periodic Verifications and Adaptability to Regulatory Changes:** ESG is a continually evolving field, with new regulations and standards frequently emerging. Periodic verifications allow the company to remain compliant with current regulations and adapt its ESG strategy based on market and authority requirements (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019). Implementing continuous evaluation mechanisms for ESG compliance ensures that commitments are upheld and helps prevent potential legal sanctions or reputational damage.

Establishing clear ESG performance indicators and utilizing external audits are fundamental for a responsible and transparent approach to the company's sustainability commitments. These practices enable continuous progress monitoring, provide a solid foundation for improvements, and assure business partners and investors that the company adheres to its ESG values and long-term objectives.

4.2. Transparent Reporting and Publication of Results

Transparency in reporting ESG results is essential for enhancing a company's reputation and credibility among investors, business partners, and the general public. An open and consistent reporting approach provides a solid foundation for evaluating the impact of ESG initiatives, contributing to the creation of an image of a responsible company committed to sustainability standards.

A comprehensive annual ESG report is one of the most important tools through which a company can demonstrate its commitment to sustainability and social responsibility. This document should include not only a list of initiatives and policies but also a detailed assessment of the results achieved, progress made towards established objectives, and areas where improvements are still needed.

- **ESG Initiatives and Programs:** A detailed description of initiatives implemented for each ESG component (environmental, social, governance). For example, reducing carbon emissions, employee training programs, and diversity policies.
- **Objectives and Achievements:** Companies should include in the report their short- and long-term objectives, accompanied by performance indicators (KPIs) that show progress towards these targets.
- **ESG Impact Assessment:** A detailed analysis of the impact of initiatives on the environment, employees, and the communities in which the company operates. This may include the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, contribution to local community development, and the creation of a safe and equitable working environment.
- **Improvement Plans:** Identification of areas where improvements are needed, along with strategies for addressing them. This section may include planned actions to enhance ESG performance and align with emerging international standards.

Publishing a transparent ESG report can bring numerous benefits, such as improving reputation and credibility or aligning with regulatory standards and avoiding risks. ESG transparency demonstrates the company's authentic commitment to sustainability, which can increase public, consumer, and investor trust (Clark, Feiner & Viehs, 2015). Investors and business partners tend to choose companies that demonstrate a clear commitment to sustainable and ethical practices. ESG reporting allows the company to differentiate itself and attract capital from responsible investors (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019). In many jurisdictions, ESG regulations are becoming mandatory, and a detailed report can help the company remain compliant and avoid sanctions and legal risks (United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

Integrating ESG transparency principles into every major negotiation is essential for maintaining an ethical approach and aligning with the company's values and standards. In the context of negotiations, transparency regarding ESG commitments can help build trust between parties, providing a foundation for a stable and long-term business relationship.

Companies should provide business partners with clear information about their ESG strategies, commitments to the environment and community, and governance standards. This information may include details about:

- **Ecological Impact of the Agreement:** Assessing how the partnership or contract affects the environment and what measures are taken to mitigate negative impacts.
- **Social and Ethical Commitments:** Ensuring that both parties adhere to ethical labor practices, respect human rights, and promote diversity and inclusion.
- **Governance and Compliance Aspects:** Clarifying governance structures, decision-making processes, and compliance with relevant regulations and standards.

Advantages of ESG Transparency in Negotiations

ESG transparency can strengthen relationships with partners, establishing a foundation of mutual trust and respect. Partners will appreciate clarity regarding ESG standards and values, which will increase the likelihood of a long-term partnership (García & Rodríguez, 2021). Through open communication of ESG commitments, companies protect their reputation, ensuring that partners adhere to the same principles. Transparent negotiations reduce the risk of collaborating with entities involved in unsustainable or unethical practices, thus protecting the company's image (Dimson, Karakaş & Li, 2015). As companies are increasingly evaluated based on ESG criteria, a solid reputation in this area can facilitate access to new markets and partners who are also concerned with sustainable practices (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018).

Annual ESG reporting and transparency in negotiations are essential for maintaining an authentic commitment to sustainability and building a responsible public image. These practices not only improve the company's image and attract reliable investors but also contribute to creating partnerships based on shared values, facilitating sustainable and long-term growth.

4.3. Creating Governance Mechanisms for Compliance Verification

Implementing effective governance mechanisms for ESG compliance verification is crucial to ensure adherence to sustainability commitments and maintain transparency in business activities. Governance mechanisms contribute to the continuous monitoring of ESG performance, the rapid identification of non-compliance, and the implementation of corrective actions when necessary.

4.3.1 Internal ESG Committee

An internal ESG committee can play a central role in overseeing sustainability-related activities and ensuring that all company decisions and actions align with ESG objectives. This committee can be

composed of board members, executive directors, and representatives from various departments with relevant experience and knowledge of ESG policies and practices.

- **Role and Responsibilities of the ESG Committee:**
  - **Monitoring Compliance:** The ESG committee is responsible for monitoring the company's compliance with ESG regulations and standards. This includes verifying progress towards environmental, social, and governance objectives and implementing corrective measures when deviations are identified.
  - **Integration into Negotiations:** The committee can play a crucial role in evaluating and overseeing how ESG standards are integrated into negotiations and contract execution. In this way, it can ensure that partnerships and commercial agreements comply with the company's ESG commitments (Clark, Feiner & Viehs, 2015).
  - **Establishing ESG Policies:** The ESG committee can develop and implement internal policies that guide employee behavior and company decisions in all ESG-related aspects. These policies may include principles of ethical governance, environmental policies, and directives for promoting diversity and inclusion (Laasch, 2022).
  - **Reporting to the Board of Directors:** The committee must periodically present reports to the board of directors, providing updates on the company's ESG performance and recommendations for improvement.
- **Benefits of Establishing an Internal ESG Committee:**
  - **Increased Accountability:** The presence of a dedicated ESG committee allows the company to allocate clear responsibilities for achieving sustainability objectives, increasing the level of accountability and involvement at the leadership level.
  - **Adaptability to Regulatory Changes:** The ESG committee can quickly adapt the company's policies and processes to new regulations and international standards, minimizing the risk of non-compliance.
  - **Improving Reputation:** Careful oversight of ESG commitments and regular reporting to stakeholders contribute to building a positive reputation for the company among investors and business partners (García & Rodríguez, 2021).

4.3.2. External Consulting and Certification

Specialized ESG consultants and certification firms provide the expertise and resources needed to ensure a company’s compliance with the highest sustainability standards. These external services are especially useful for companies at the beginning of their ESG integration journey or for those operating in industries with complex regulatory requirements.

- **The Role of External Consultants:**
  - **Assessment of Current Practices:** ESG consultants can perform a detailed analysis of the company’s current practices to identify areas needing improvement in ESG compliance. This may include environmental impact analysis, evaluation of social practices, and review of the governance structure (Dimson, Karakaş & Li, 2015).
  - **Recommendations for Improvement:** Based on the assessment, consultants can provide recommendations for implementing stricter sustainability policies and better alignment with international ESG governance standards.
  - **Support in ESG Monitoring and Reporting:** Consultants can assist the company in developing transparent and well-structured ESG reports, helping to clearly present performance and effectively communicate progress to investors and partners (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019).
- **ESG Certification:**

- **Obtaining Recognized Certifications:** Companies can collaborate with specialized firms to obtain internationally recognized ESG certifications, such as ISO 14001 for environmental management or ISO 26000 for social responsibility. These certifications confirm the company's commitment to sustainable practices and enhance credibility with partners and customers.
- **Certification and Recertification Frequency:** ESG certifications typically require periodic evaluations and recertifications to ensure the maintenance of standards over time. Certification firms may conduct annual or biannual assessments to verify progress and provide improvement recommendations (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018).

- **Benefits of External Consulting and Certification:**
  - **Specialized Expertise:** ESG consultants offer technical expertise and a deep understanding of regulatory requirements, helping companies effectively navigate compliance challenges.
  - **Credibility and Recognition:** ESG certifications increase public and business partner trust in the company's commitment to sustainability, offering a competitive market advantage.
  - **Risk Reduction for Non-Compliance:** Working with external experts and obtaining certifications can minimize the risk of sanctions and penalties for failing to meet ESG standards, contributing to effective risk management (United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

Governance mechanisms, such as internal ESG committees and external consulting, strengthen a responsible and transparent approach aligned with ESG standards. These structures ensure ongoing monitoring, effective adaptation to regulatory changes, and provide a solid foundation for building a lasting reputation and trustworthy partnerships with investors and stakeholders.

4.4. Integrating ESG into Contracts and Commercial Agreements

Integrating ESG principles into contracts and commercial agreements is a crucial step in ensuring that business partners adhere to the company's sustainability commitments. ESG clauses and partner compliance assessments contribute to building business relationships based on shared values and protecting the company's long-term reputation.

ESG Clauses in Contracts

Including ESG clauses in contracts ensures that business partners uphold fundamental sustainability principles and align with the company's objectives. These clauses can cover various aspects of environmental responsibility, labor rights, and governance transparency.

- **Key Types of ESG Clauses:**
  - **Environmental Commitments:** ESG clauses may require partners to adopt practices that reduce environmental impact, such as minimizing greenhouse gas emissions, efficient resource use, and proper waste management. For example, suppliers may be obligated to implement energy conservation measures and use recyclable materials in their processes (Clark, Feiner & Viehs, 2014).
  - **Respect for Labor Rights:** Social ESG clauses may include strict requirements related to employee rights, ensuring safe working conditions, and promoting diversity and inclusion. Business partners may be required to comply with international human rights standards and avoid forced labor or child exploitation (United Nations Global Compact, 2019).
  - **Transparency and Integrity in Governance:** Governance ESG clauses may impose requirements for transparency, avoidance of conflicts of interest, and the maintenance of high ethical standards in business decisions. For instance, partners may be required to

report regularly on ESG compliance and implement anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies (García & Rodríguez, 2021).

- **Benefits of Integrating ESG Clauses:**
  - **Reducing Non-Compliance Risks:** ESG clauses allow companies to enforce a level of compliance from partners, minimizing the risk that partners engage in practices that contradict the company's sustainability goals.
  - **Reputation Protection:** By enforcing sustainability standards, the company ensures it is not associated with unsustainable partner practices, thereby protecting its long-term reputation (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019).
  - **Alignment with Sustainability Goals:** ESG clauses establish a mutual commitment between the company and its partners, fostering business relationships based on shared values, which can lead to long-lasting and trustworthy partnerships (Laasch, 2022).

Partner Compliance Assessment

To ensure that business partners adhere to ESG standards, it is essential to assess their compliance with sustainability criteria. This evaluation helps the company select responsible partners and reduces the risk of collaborating with entities that do not share the same ethical and environmental values.

- **Compliance Assessment Processes:**
  - **ESG Compliance Audits:** Companies can conduct periodic audits of their partners to verify alignment with ESG requirements. These audits may analyze aspects ranging from carbon emissions and resource use to implemented social and ethical policies (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018).
  - **ESG Reporting Requirements:** Partners may be required to provide periodic reports on their ESG performance, including data on environmental impact, working conditions, and governance structure. These reports can be used to continuously evaluate compliance and identify potential risks of non-compliance (Dimson, Karakaş & Li, 2015).
  - **Assessment of Business History and Practices:** Before forming a partnership, a company may analyze the partner's history and previous business practices, including their reputation regarding social responsibility, environmental practices, and governance.
- **Benefits of Partner Compliance Evaluation:**
  - **Reducing Reputational Risks:** Collaborating with partners who adhere to ESG standards helps protect the company's public image and avoids association with harmful practices (Khan, Serafeim & Yoon, 2016).
  - **Building Trust and Partner Loyalty:** ESG alignment and compliance evaluation help strengthen business relationships by creating partnerships founded on mutual trust and accountability (García & Rodríguez, 2021).
  - **Preventing Legal and Financial Issues:** By evaluating partners for ESG compliance, companies can avoid potential legal and financial problems that may arise from non-compliance with international environmental and social regulations (United Nations Global Compact, 2019).

Integrating ESG clauses in contracts and evaluating partner compliance are essential steps for companies committed to sustainability standards and building business relationships rooted in shared values. These practices not only protect the company's reputation but also foster long-term partnerships that promote a positive impact on the environment and society.

4.5. Stakeholder Engagement

Engaging stakeholders is essential to ensure that ESG practices are effectively integrated into negotiations and decision-making processes. Collaborating with employees, investors, local communities,

and non-governmental organizations provides a solid foundation for informed decisions that reflect the needs and expectations of all those affected by the company's operations.

Dialogue with Stakeholders

Consulting stakeholders before finalizing a negotiation helps gather valuable insights that can influence the structure and content of agreements. This not only facilitates better alignment with ESG values but can also prevent potential long-term conflicts or issues.

- **Importance of Stakeholder Consultation:**
  - **Valuable Feedback for Sustainable Decisions:** Employees, investors, and NGOs can provide critical insights into the environmental and societal impact of the company's operations, helping identify ESG risks and opportunities. Their feedback can lead to adjustments in negotiation processes to include additional environmental protections or social rights measures (Clark, Feiner & Viehs, 2014).
  - **Transparency and Trust:** Open and transparent dialogue with stakeholders enhances trust in the company and demonstrates a clear commitment to social responsibility. This approach can attract business partners and investors who prioritize sustainability (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019).
  - **Alignment with Local Standards and Expectations:** Engaging local communities and NGOs ensures that business projects and agreements align with regional expectations and regulations. This can prevent conflicts and foster positive relationships with communities affected by the company's operations (Laasch, 2022).
- **Stakeholder Consultation Methods:**
  - **Periodic Meetings and Workshops:** Companies can organize consultation sessions, workshops, and meetings with stakeholders to discuss project impacts and receive feedback on proposed measures.
  - **Surveys and Questionnaires:** Using surveys and questionnaires enables companies to efficiently collect information from a large number of stakeholders, offering detailed insights into their concerns and expectations (Khan, Serafeim & Yoon, 2016).

Responsibility Toward Communities

Responsibility toward local communities is a critical element of ESG-based negotiations. Companies must consider the environmental and social impact of their operations and implement measures to mitigate negative effects. This commitment demonstrates ethical conduct and supports sustainable development.

- **Assessing Impact on Local Communities:**
  - **Social and Environmental Impact Analysis:** Before concluding a negotiation, companies should conduct an impact assessment to understand effects on the environment and local communities. This includes evaluating air quality, water resources, biodiversity, and public health (United Nations Global Compact, 2019).
  - **Identifying and Implementing Mitigation Measures:** Companies should consider measures to reduce negative impact, such as rehabilitating affected areas, monitoring emissions, and supporting community development projects. These actions not only improve community relations but also contribute to a strong reputation for sustainability (García & Rodríguez, 2021).
- **Benefits of Responsibility Toward Communities:**
  - **Enhancing Reputation and Employer Appeal:** Companies demonstrating genuine social responsibility become more attractive to local talent and socially-conscious investors, reinforcing their long-term reputation (Dimson, Karakaş & Li, 2015).
  - **Building Positive Relationships with Communities:** Investments in local communities and social responsibility initiatives strengthen relationships with affected stakeholders,

reducing the risk of opposition or conflict and ensuring community support (Friede, Busch & Bassen, 2015).

- **Contributing to the Sustainable Development Goals:** By taking responsibility for communities, companies directly contribute to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, which can positively impact their global reputation and facilitate access to international markets and ethical partners (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, 2018).

Engaging stakeholders and assuming responsibility for communities are essential to the long-term success of companies adopting ESG principles. These practices help identify risks, improve business relationships, and create a positive public image, demonstrating the company's commitment to social and environmental values.

5. Conclusion

Adopting an ESG approach in negotiations is no longer optional, it is a necessity for companies aiming to ensure their sustainability and competitiveness in an increasingly regulated and socially and environmentally conscious business environment. As the global economy shifts toward more responsible and sustainable models, companies that fail to integrate ESG into their strategies risk missing valuable opportunities and facing sanctions, reputational damage, and difficulties in accessing financing. ESG not only sets new standards in negotiations but also redefines the criteria for long-term success.

While traditional negotiations provide immediate advantages through efficiency and cost reduction, ESG-driven negotiations offer long-term benefits such as reputation protection, access to responsible investors, and the building of business relationships based on trust and shared values. Furthermore, integrating ESG allows companies to stand out in a competitive marketplace, offering a significant strategic advantage. Rather than being seen as a bureaucratic burden, ESG standards should be viewed as an opportunity for sustainable growth and market position strengthening.

Although implementing ESG principles may involve greater complexity and initial costs, it contributes to risk reduction and creates a positive impact on the environment and society. In the long run, ESG becomes a valuable tool for companies seeking resilience and the establishment of trustworthy partnerships. Companies that embed ESG into their negotiation and compliance strategies demonstrate a genuine commitment to corporate responsibility and sustainability, thereby strengthening their market position and attracting partners who share the same values.

In conclusion, ESG not only redefines the rules of modern negotiation but also contributes to shaping a more ethical, fair, and sustainable economy for the future. In a constantly evolving world, companies that embrace ESG not only adapt, they become leaders of a much-needed global transformation.

References

[1] Adams, C.A., & Abhayawansa, S. (2022). *The Sustainability Accounting and Reporting Framework: Navigating ESG for Responsible Business*. Routledge.

[2] Eccles, R.G., & Klimenko, S. (2019). *The Investor Revolution: ESG and the Shift in Corporate Responsibility*. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(3), 106-116.

[3] García, M. F., & Rodríguez, A. (2021). *Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure: The Role of ESG in Business*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(1), 105-125.

[4] GRI. (2020). *Global Reporting Initiative Standards: Sustainability Reporting and its Importance in ESG*. GRI.

[5] Laasch, O. (2022). *Principles of Responsible Management: Sustainability, Responsibility,*

Ethics. Routledge.
[6] Adams, C.A., & Abhayawansa, S. (2022). *The Sustainability Accounting and Reporting Framework: Navigating ESG for Responsible Business*. Routledge.
[7] Eccles, R.G., & Klimenko, S. (2019). *The Investor Revolution: ESG and the Shift in Corporate Responsibility*. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(3), 106-116.
[8] García, M. F., & Rodríguez, A. (2021). *Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure: The Role of ESG in Business*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(1), 105-125.
[9] Laasch, O. (2022). *Principles of Responsible Management: Sustainability, Responsibility, Ethics*. Routledge.
[10] Lee, K.-H., Cin, B. C., & Lee, E. Y. (2016). *Environmental Responsibility and Firm Performance: The Application of an ESG Framework in South Korea*. *Sustainability*, 8(9), 799.
[11] Raut, R.D., Gardas, B.B., & Jha, M.K. (2019). *Evaluating the Factors Influencing Corporate Social Responsibility in the Light of ESG Standards: A Framework for Analysis*. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 231, 202-213.
[12] Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB). (2018). *SASB Conceptual Framework: Overview and Integration of ESG Criteria in Reporting*. SASB.
[13] Thomas, R. W., & Lamm, E. (2020). *Sustainable Business and Ethical Conduct in Corporate Negotiations*. *Business and Society Review*, 125(4), 589-614.
[14] United Nations Global Compact. (2019). *ESG Integration in Corporate Governance: A Practical Guide for Board Members*.
[15] Clark, G., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2014). *From the Stockholder to the Stakeholder: How Sustainability Can Drive Financial Outperformance*. University of Oxford and Arabesque Partners.
[16] Dimson, E., Karakaş, O., & Li, X. (2015). *Active Ownership*. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 28(12), 3225-3268.
[17] Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). *ESG and Financial Performance: Aggregated Evidence from More than 2000 Empirical Studies*. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 5(4), 210-233.
[18] Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). *Corporate Sustainability: First Evidence on Materiality*. *The Accounting Review*, 91(6), 1697-1724.
[19] Clark, G., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2014). *From the Stockholder to the Stakeholder: How Sustainability Can Drive Financial Outperformance*. University of Oxford and Arabesque Partners.
[20] Dimson, E., Karakaş, O., & Li, X. (2015). *Active Ownership*. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 28(12), 3225-3268.
[21] García, M. F., & Rodríguez, A. (2021). *Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure: The Role of ESG in Business*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(1), 105-125.
[22] Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). *Corporate Sustainability: First Evidence on Materiality*. *The Accounting Review*, 91(6), 1697-1724.
[23] Clark, G., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2014). *From the Stockholder to the Stakeholder: How Sustainability Can Drive Financial Outperformance*. University of Oxford and Arabesque Partners.
[24] Dimson, E., Karakaş, O., & Li, X. (2015). *Active Ownership*. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 28(12), 3225-3268.
[25] Eccles, R., & Klimenko, S. (2019). *The Investor Revolution*. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(3), 106-116.
[26] García, M. F., & Rodríguez, A. (2021). *Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure: The Role of ESG in Business*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(1), 105-125.

[27] Laasch, O. (2022). *Principles of Responsible Management: Sustainability, Responsibility, Ethics*. Routledge.
[28] Sustainability Accounting Standards Board. (2018). *SASB Standards Overview*.
[29] United Nations Global Compact. (2019). *ESG Integration in Corporate Governance: A* Practical Guide for Board Members.
[30] Dimson, E., Karakaş, O., & Li, X. (2015). Active Ownership. The Review of Financial Studies, 28(12), 3225-3268.
[31] Eccles, R., & Klimenko, S. (2019). The Investor Revolution. Harvard Business Review, 97(3), 106-116.
[32] Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and Financial Performance: Aggregated Evidence from More than 2000 Empirical Studies. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210-233.
[33] García, M. F., & Rodríguez, A. (2021). Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure: The Role of ESG in Business. Journal of Business Ethics, 167(1), 105-125.
[34] Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate Sustainability: First Evidence on Materiality. The Accounting Review, 91(6), 1697-1724.
[35] Laasch, O. (2022). Principles of Responsible Management: Sustainability, Responsibility, Ethics. Routledge.
[36] Sustainability Accounting Standards Board. (2018). SASB Standards Overview.